

[25rd March 1931]

Number and name of range of each Deputy Inspector (Muhammadan).	Headquarters of the range.	Extent of the range (jurisdiction of each Deputy Inspector).	Number of Boys' Elementary schools in the range on 31st December 1930.	Remarks.
13. Tinnevely.	Tinnevely ..	Tinnevely district, Virudhunagar, Sivakasi and Srivilliputtur Municipalities and Srivilliputtur, Sattur, Aruppukottai and Madukulattur taluks of Ramnad district.	114 (j)	(j) Excludes 1 Urdu section in a non-Muslim school.
14. Kanara ..	Mangalore ..	South Kanara district ..	127	
15. Chittoor ..	Chittoor ..	Chittoor district and Nellore district (excluding Podili and Darsi divisions).	134 (k)	(k) Excludes 28 Urdu sections in non-Muslim schools.
Total ..			1,909 †	† Excludes 115 Urdu sections in non-Muslim schools.

NOTE.—The statement excludes Mappilla schools in Malabar for which there is a separate inspecting staff.

APPENDIX II.

[Vide item IV—Papers placed on the Table of the House at page 506 supra.]

LAW (GENERAL) DEPARTMENT.

STATEMENT TO BE PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

With reference to the undertaking given by the hon. the Law Member on the 13th March 1931 in answer to question No. 596, the following statement is placed on the table of the Legislative Council:—

Statement.

On the 10th February 1931, M. P. Narayana Menon who was confined in the Madras Penitentiary was assaulted by a convict called Nallaperumal Tevan. The injuries inflicted were as follows:—

- (1) A contused abraised wound 1" in diameter on the left cheek bone.
- (2) A contused wound 1" in diameter on the right side of the forehead.
- (3) A small abraised wound on the left knee-cap.
- (4) A small abraised wound lower third back of left forearm.
- (5) A small contusion on the left parietal eminence.

23rd March 1931]

He was examined by the Medical officer of the Jail, Major S. C. Contractor, I.M.S., and the Sub-Assistant Surgeon on duty. His injuries were not serious. His health also was not found to be seriously affected on account of the assault.

2. The Superintendent of the Jail made enquiries to ascertain the cause which led to the assault. The statements of the two persons chiefly concerned and of the jailor were obtained and they are reproduced below:—

Statement of Nallaperumal Tevan.

“Narayana Menon gave evidence in a certain case in December and as the Superintendent believed him I was fined 10 days’ remission. This convict Narayana Menon has been exercising considerable influence over all the convicts of the jail. Yesterday morning after the Monday morning inspection by the Superintendent, Narayana Menon called me a dog and told me that I was disturbing him in his sleep at night by making noises. I was very angry with him as he has done me much harm. Yesterday morning before the inspection Narayana Menon went and reported me to the jailor. I did not fall in at yesterday’s inspection because of this. Therefore I assaulted Narayana Menon to-day.”

Statement of M. P. Narayana Menon.

“I was passing urine in my bath room after 12 o’clock just before going to my work. I heard some one coming. I thought it may be totie (scavenger) and when I was just getting up I recognized Perumal and he began to beat me. I did not report him to the jailor yesterday before inspection. I did not call him a dog yesterday, and did not talk to him at all. I do not believe that he has any grievances against me and he has not done it on his own.”

Statement of Mr. A. J. Gonsalves, jailor.

“Convict No. 1695 Nallaperumal Tevan in his statement to the Superintendent on 10th March 1931 said that convict No. 2607 M. P. Narayana Menon had reported him to me on the morning of 9th February 1931 as having disturbed him (Narayana Menon) the whole night by shouting in his cell. Narayana Menon made no such report to me.”

As a result of this enquiry, Nallaperumal Tevan was awarded 25 stripes.

3. Narayana Menon was in the Penitentiary for a period of 7 years, 3 months and 27 days and during this period he was not previously assaulted by anybody. It appears, however, from the evidence of Nallaperumal Tevan that he had a grudge against Narayana Menon as he believed that he had been punished by the Superintendent on the strength of the evidence given by Narayana Menon. It has also been ascertained that there was no misunderstanding between Narayana Menon and the jailor or other jail authorities.

4. There is no out-quarantine in the Penitentiary. Nallaperumal Tevan was confined on disciplinary grounds at night in a block of cells usually set

[23rd March 1931]

aside for condemned prisoners and disciplinary cases. He had been transferred to this block some 10 days previous to the assault on Narayana Menon. The enclosure situated near this block is reserved for under-trial prisoners and Nallaperumal Tevan was not confined in this enclosure.

On the day on which the incident took place no other convict occupied the block except Nallaperumal Tevan. Convicts were confined previously and have been confined subsequently in this block on disciplinary and other grounds.

5. All possible precautions are taken against indiscipline of this kind. The rules relating to general discipline, as laid down in the Jail Manual (Chapters XVIII and XIX of Jail Manual), are enforced.

U. RAMA RAO,
Secy. to Govt., Law (General) Department.

